FDA-UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND Workshop on Quantitative Assessment of Assumptions to Support Extrapolation of Efficacy in pediatrics

Quantitative Assessment of Exposure/Response Similarity in Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) and Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA)

MEGAN GIBBS, BscPharm, Ph.D., FCP

Clinical Pharmacology, Modeling and Simulation, Amgen JUNE 1, 2016



Pioneering science delivers vital medicines"

INTRODUCTION

- Conducting studies in the pediatric population is challenging.
- Appropriate pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies may facilitate pediatric drug development by supporting partial extrapolation, dose optimization, and product labeling.
- Frequently, extrapolation of adult PK is required to inform pediatric dosing and study design.



Samant, et al, JCP 55(11), 1207-1217, 2015

FDA PEDIATRIC DECISION TREE

Pediatric Study Planning & Extrapolation Algorithm Is it reasonable to assume that children, when compared to adults, have a similar: (1) disease progression and (2) response to intervention? No to either Yes to both Is it reasonable to assume similar exposure-response in pediatrics and adults? No Yes Is the drug (or active metabolite) concentration measurable^{c,d} and predictive of clinical response? No Yes Is there a PD measurement that can be used to predict efficacy in children? "Full extrapolation"f No Yes Conduct: Adequate PK study to select dose(s) to (1)achieve similar exposure as adultse. Safety trials^a at the identified dose(s). (2)"No extrapolation"f "Partial extrapolation" "Partial extrapolation"^f Conduct: Conduct: Adequate dose-ranging studies in children (1) Adequate dose-ranging study in children to (1) to establish dosing^e. select dose(s) that achieve the target PD Safetya and efficacyb trials at the identified (2)effecte. Safety trials at the identified dose(s). dose(s) in children. (2)

FDA Guidance for Industry

General Clinical Pharmacology Considerations for Pediatric Studies for Drugs and Biological Products



SUMMARY OF APPROACHES TO EXTRAPOLATION

Extrapolation of Efficacy From Adult Data	Assumptions Made to Extrapolate Efficacy	Purposes of Pediatric Studies	Supportive Evidence Requested From Pediatric Studies	Products for Which WRs Issued, <i>n/N</i> (%)	New or Expanded Pediatric Indication Achieved, <i>n(N</i> (%)
No extrapolation	Disease/condition and/or response to intervention are not similar.	Demonstration of efficacy and assessment of safety.	Two adequate, well-controlled, efficacy and safety trials plus pharmacokinetic data.	19/166 (11)	7/19 (37)
		For oncology products only, demonstration of response and assessment of safety	For oncology products only, sequential approach starting with phase 1/2. Do not proceed if no evidence of response	10/166 (6)	3/10 (30)
Partial extrapolation	Disease/condition and/or response to intervention are similar but there is some uncertainly about the strength of assumptions.	Confirmation of efficacy and assessment of safety.	Single, adequate, well-controlled, efficacy and safety trial plus pharmacokinetic data.	67/166 (40)	35/67 (52)
	Disease/condition and/or response to intervention are similar but there is less uncertainty about the strength of assumptions (or	Confirmation of response and assessment of safety.	Single, controlled or uncontrolled, efficacy and safety trial (qualitative data) plus pharmacokinetic data	20/166 (12)	15/20 (75)
	patient numbers are such that it would not be feasible to conduct a controlled or adequately powered study).		Single exposure-response trial (not powered for efficacy determination) plus pharmacokinetic and safety data, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic and uncontrolled efficacy data plus safety data, or pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic data plus safety data.	26/166 (16)	19/26 (73)
Complete extrapolation	Disease/condition and/or response to intervention are similar and there is a high degree of certainty about the strength of assumptions	Exposure data to confirm age- appropriate dose and assessment of safety.	Pharmacokinetic and safety data.	10/166 (6)	9/10 (90)
	Disease/condition and/or response to intervention are similar and there is a high degree of certainly about the strength of assumptions. Dose assumed to be the same (eg. topical application).	Assessment of safety.	Safety data oniy.	14/166 (8)	6/14 (43)

TABLE 1 Summary of Approaches to Use of Extrapolation of Efficacy From Adult Population to Pediatric Population

CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY MODELING & SIMULATION KEY APPLICATIONS FOR PEDIATRIC EXTRAPOLATION





PLATFORM APPROACH TO IMPACT REGULATORY STRATEGY: STANDARDIZED APPROACH FOR PEDIATRIC STUDY PLANS





range

CASE STUDY: ENBREL[®] (ETANERCEPT)

- A dimeric fusion protein consisting of the extracellular ligand-binding portion of the human p75 TNF receptor linked to the Fc portion of human IgG1
- It consists of 934 amino acids and has an apparent molecular weight of approximately 150 kD
- Etanercept is produced by recombinant DNA technology





Ig = immunoglobulin; TNF = tumor necrosis factor.

Enbrel® (etanercept) Prescribing Information, Immunex Corporation, Thousand Oaks, Calif.

ROLE OF TNF IN THE PATHOGENESIS OF ARTHROPATHIES

- Plays a role in the inflammatory processes, resulting in joint pathology of
 - Rheumatoid arthritis (RA)
 - Polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis
 - Psoriatic arthritis





TNF-ALPHA PLAYS A ROLE IN RA



1. Choy EHS, et al. N Engl J Med. 2001;344:907-916. 2. Scott DL, et al. N Engl J Med. 2006;355;704-712 - or Internal Use Only

ENBREL® (ETANERCEPT): PHARMACOKINETICS

• Rheumatoid Arthritis 50 mg Weekly

- Cmax 2.4 mcg/mL, half life 102 +/-30 hours
- PK parameters were not different between men and women and did not vary with age in adult patients
- Pharmacokinetics were not altered by concomitant MTA in RA patients
- No formal renal or hepatic studies conducted

Enbrel® (etanercept) Prescribing Information, Immunex Corporation, Thousand Oaks, Calif



COMPARISON OF ADULT RA AND JIA A CASE FOR EXTRAPOLATION?

	JIA	RA
Clinical Profile	 Significant heterogeneity 7 disease subtypes Significant variation in developmental stage across age range 	Heterogeneous, clinically similar
PK/PD relationship	Association of age and response not well characterized Not established across a dose range	Established, concentration dependent across a dose range
Trial Design	Withdrawal/Flare Design	Traditional Induction Trial
Outcome Measure	JIA 30,50,70 score	ACR 20, 50,70 score
Prevalence	Rare Disease 70-100,000 active and inactive (CDC)	~ 4.7 million

Leverage Enbrel PK/PD from Adults with RA to Inform Treatment in JIA



SUMMARY OF APPROACHES TO EXTRAPOLATION

Extrapolation of Efficacy From Adult Data	Assumptions Made to Extrapolate Efficacy	Purposes of Pediatric Studies	Supportive Evidence Requested From Pediatric Studies	Products for Which WRs	New or Expanded Pediatric
				Issued, n/N (%)	Indication Achieved, <i>n/ N</i> (%)
No extrapolation	Disease/condition and/or response to intervention are not similar.	Demonstration of efficacy and assessment of safety.	Two adequate, well-controlled, efficacy and safety trials plus pharmacokinetic data.	19/166 (11)	7/19 (37)
		Cor sneelogy products only, demonstration of response and assessment of safety.	For enselogy products only, sequential approach starting with phase 1/2. Do not proceed if no evidence of response.	10/166 (6)	3/10 (30)
Partial extrapolation	Disease/condition and/or response to intervention are similar but there is some uncertainty about the strength of assumptions.	Confirmation of efficacy and assessment of safety.	Single, adequate, well-controlled, efficacy and safety trial plus pharmacokinetic data.	67/166 (40)	35/67 (52)
	Disease/condition and/or response to intervention are similar but there is less uncertainty about the strength of assumptions (or	Confirmation of response and assessment of safety.	Single, controlled or uncontrolled, efficacy and safety trial (qualitative data) plus pharmacokinetic data.	20/166 (12)	15/20 (75)
	patient numbers are such that it would not be feasible to conduct a controlled or adequately powered study).		Single exposure-response trial (not powered for efficacy determination) plus pharmacokinetic and safety data, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic and uncontrolled efficacy data plus safety data, or pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic data plus safety data.	26/166 (16)	19/26 (73)
complete extrapolation	Disease/consition and/or response to intervention are similar and there is a bigh degree of	Exposure data to confirm age appropriate dose and assessment of	Pharmacokinetic and safety data	10/166 (6)	9/10 (90)
	certainty about the strength of assumptions.	safety.			
	Disease/condition and/or response	Assessment of safety.	Safety data only.	14/166 (8)	6/14 (43)

TABLE 1 Summary of Approaches to Use of Extrapolation of Efficacy From Adult Population to Pediatric Population

Clinical Strategy for Enbrel® (etanercept) JIA Partial Extrapolation

Dunne et al, Pediatrics, Vol 128:5, 2011

ENBREL® (ETANERCEPT) CASE STUDY: STEPS IN EXTRAPOLATION IN JIA POPULATION



ENBREL® (ETANERCEPT) CASE STUDY ADULT POPULATION PKPD ANALYSIS



Population PK Model

- 1 Compartment with 1st order abs.
- Covariates of sex and race on CL/F and standardized body weight on CL/F and V/F

Population PKPD Model

 Cumulative AUC as exposure variable related with binary ACR clinical outcome variable

Adult Model Based PKPD Analyses are the 1st step to Support the Pediatric Extrapolation Strategy



ENBREL® (ETANERCEPT) CASE STUDY: STEPS IN EXTRAPOLATION IN JIA POPULATION



ENBREL[®] (ETANERCEPT) IN MODERATELY TO SEVERELY ACTIVE POLYARTICULAR JUVENILE IDIOPATHIC ARTHRITIS (JIA)

ENBREL is indicated for reducing signs and symptoms of moderately to severely active polyarticular JIA in patients ages 2 and older.

Study Name (Patient Type)	Etanercept (ETN) Therapy	Journal Citation
Pivotal JIA Study (Polyarticular JIA [methotrexate (MTX)- refractory or intolerant])	Monotherapy	Lovell DJ, et al. <i>N Engl J</i> <i>Med.</i> 2000;342:763-769.*

*Above referenced study is included in the Enbrel[®] (etanercept) Prescribing Information. It is not listed with a study number.



Enbrel® (etanercept) Prescribing Information, Immunex Corporation, Thousand Oaks, Calif. For Internal Use Only

STUDY DESIGN

- Objective
 - To evaluate the efficacy and safety profile of ETN in children (4–17 years) with polyarticular JIA who did not tolerate or had an inadequate response to MTX
- Endpoints
 - Primary: The number of patients developing disease flare in the double-blind phase
 - Others: Changes of individual measures of disease activity



STUDY DESIGN (CONT'D)

- 69 children with moderately to severely active polyarticular JIA with a variety of onset types were evaluated
- Patients were refractory to or intolerant to MTX
- Stable dose of a single nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) and/or prednisone (≤ 0.2 mg/kg/day or 10 mg maximum) were allowed
- Two-part trial
 - Part 1: All patients received ETN 0.4 mg/kg (maximum 25 mg per dose) subcutaneously (SC) twice weekly
 - Part 2: At day 90, the 51 responders were randomized to continue ETN or receive placebo (PBO) for 4 months and assessed for disease flare



STUDY DESIGN (CONT'D)



*Includes 8 nonresponders from part 1 and 25 patients from each arm in part 2. After 1 year of the extension, the use and doses of corticosteroids, NSAIDs, and pain medications could be adjusted and MTX could be added.

1. Enbrel[®] (etanercept) Prescribing Information, Immunex Corporation, Thousand Oaks, Calif. 2. Lovell DJ, et al. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:1987-1994. 3. Lovell DJ, et al. Arthristis Rheum. 2008;58:1496,1504, Proprietary-For Internal Use Only

ENDPOINTS

- Primary
 - Patients with disease flare in part 2 (double-blind portion) of the study defined as
 - ≥ 30% worsening in 3 of 6 JIA core set criteria* and a minimum of 2 active joints
 - ≥ 30% improvement in no more than 1 of 6 JIA core set criteria*
- Other
 - Definition of response (part 1)
 - JIA definition of improvement (JIA 30 response)
 - $\geq 30\%$ improvement in at least 3 of 6 JIA core set criteria,* and
 - ≥ 30% worsening in no more than 1 of the 6 JIA core set criteria*
 - JIA 50 and 70 responses[†]
 - Improvement in the individual components of the JIA core set criteria
 - Safety

*Active joint count, number of joints with limitation of motion, patient/parent global assessment, physician global assessment, functional assessment (Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate. Number of joints with limitation of motion was accompanied by pain and/or tenderness.

[†]JIA 50 and 70 responses were defined by a 50% or 70% improvement, respectively, in at least 3 of the 6 response criteria with no more than 1 criterion worsening by more than 30%.

1. Enbrel® (etanercept) Prescribing Information, Immunex Corporation, Thousand Oaks, Calif. 2. Lovell DJ, et al. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:763-769. 3. Data on file, Amgen.



JIA STUDY: BASELINE PATIENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Subject Disposition (n = 69)				
Female (%)	62			
Caucasian (%)	75			
Mean age in years (range)	10.5 (4–17)			
Mean JIA disease duration in years	5.9			
MTX at washout (%)	72			
Concomitant therapy (%) at start of washout period*				
NSAIDs	96			
Corticosteroids	36			

- From study onset through year 1 of the extension, prednisone dose had to remain stable (0.2 mg/kg/d or 10 mg/d maximum). After year 1 of the extension, doses of corticosteroids, NSAIDs, and pain medications could be adjusted and MTX could be added (10–20 mg/m²/wk)
 - ENBREL is not approved for use in patients with pediatric plaque psoriasis



OPEN-LABEL: CLINICAL RESPONSE

 51 of 69 (74%) patients demonstrated a clinical response in part 1 (open-label phase) and entered part 2 (double-blind phase)



DOUBLE-BLIND: DISEASE FLARE



Enbrel® (etanercept) Prescribing Information, Immunes Corporation, Thousand Oaks, Calif

AMGEN

DOUBLE-BLIND: CLINICAL RESPONSE*



*At the end of the 7-month study (3-month open-label and 4-month double-blind). $^{\dagger}P < 0.01 \text{ ETN vs PBO}.$



Lovell DJ, et al. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:763-769.

ENBREL® (ETANERCEPT) CASE STUDY: STEPS IN EXTRAPOLATION IN JIA POPULATION



POPULATION PHARMACOKINETIC ANALYSIS AND SIMULATION OF THE TIME-CONCENTRATION PROFILE OF ENBREL® (ETANERCEPT) IN PEDIATRIC PATIENTS WITH JUVENILE RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS



- Initial efficacy study dose was at 0.4 mg/kg twice weekly
- Simulation study at 0.8 mg/kg once weekly
 - Widely overlapping concentration profiles at steady-state

Approval of Enbrel®(Etanercept) Dose and Regimen Change in Pediatrics Based on Simulation

Yim, et al, JCP 45, 2005



CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY, MODELING AND SIMULATION APPLYING DIVERSE TECHNOLOGIES TO SERVE A UNIFIED PURPOSE



"Advancing our Understanding of Biology to Advance Clinical Medicine"



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- James Chung, MD
- Jane Parnes, MD
- David Reese, MD
- Elliott, Levy, MD
- Lisa Bollinger, MD
- Mark Taisey, Ph.D.
- Sree Kaishchayanuula, Ph.D.
- John Gibbs, Ph.D.
- Andrew Chow, Ph.D.
- Desmond Padhi, PharmD.
- Deborah Wenkert, MD

