Challenges and Strategies to Facilitate Formulation Development of Pediatric Drug Products Session 5: Excipient Safety

Organizing Committee: Aylward, Baer, Buckley, Carleer, Fegley, Nunn, Salunke, Turner, Thompson

Session 5: Pre-Read Material

- EMA Guideline on Excipient Labeling (Review example of propylene glycol, esp Q&A)
 - <u>http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/document_listing/document_listing_000387.jsp&mid=</u> <u>WC0b01ac05808c01f6</u>
- EMA Reflection paper on extrapolation of efficacy and safety in paediatric medicine development. 01Apr2016 DRAFT
 - <u>http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Regulatory_and_procedural_guideline/2016/04/WC500204187_ .pdf</u>
- IPEC Position paper on EU Risk Assessment Guidelines for Excipients (2015/C95/02)
 - http://ipecamericas.org/content/ipec-federation-publishes-position-paper-eu-risk-assessment-guidelines-excipients-2015c-9502
- Schmitt 2015 (*Children* **2015**, *2*, 191-197) "Safety of excipients in pediatric formulations A call for toxicity studies in juvenile animals?" doi:10.3390/children2020191
- Loftsson 2015 (Int J Pharm 2015, 480:48-54) "Excipient pharmacokinetics and profiling"
- Turner 2014 (*Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **2014** Jun;73:89-101) "Risk assessment of neonatal excipient exposure: Lessons from food safety and other areas" doi:10.1016/j.addr.2013.11.003
- Sources of information supporting excipient use
 - FDA inactive ingredients and IPEC Comments
 - <u>http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/iig/index.cfm</u>
 - http://www.pharmtech.com/ipec-america-suggests-improvements-fda-s-inactive-ingredientsdatabase&topic=333&cid=PTE?eid=173028031&bid=1229925
 - STEP database (Safety and Toxicity of Excipients for Pediatrics)
 - http://pharmacyapp-a.ucl.ac.uk:8080/eupfi/appDirectLink.do?appFlag=login;
 - <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037851731300848X</u>
 - <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378517315005360</u>

Session 5: Case Scenarios & Questions to Inform Development of a Risk Assessment Framework

Two Breakout discussion groups:

- 1. New/Novel excipients
 - "Inactive" vs biologically active agents (e.g. SNAC)
 - European vs. US approaches
- 2. Established/Standard excipients with incomplete information (eg new use: dose, duration, route, severity of diseases, age group, etc)
 - Clarification of known information in proposed setting
 - Identification of information gaps
 - Alternative sources of information and the appropriateness thereof

Session 5: Case Scenarios & Questions to Inform Development of a Risk Assessment Framework

- How to justify the use of excipients (novel, established) in paediatrics? What are the hurdles faced?
- Risk assessment of excipients in paediatrics
 - Can a common template or approach (framework) be developed for implementing risk assessments for individual excipients?
 - What minimum information is required? What additional data is required?
 - What circumstances and factors should be considered regarding the justification for junvenile tox studies?
 - Should toxicology studies with the final formulation be conducted? If so, when & which studies?
 - What alternative options are available if no additional information is available?
 - What clinical trial design factors can be incorporated to provide information on the safety of excipients?
 - Where are the knowledge gaps and how would you prioritize studies needed to approach the evaluation of excipients for paediatrics?
- Would the proposed framework help address the issues of use of excipients in paediatrics?
 - What are the pros and cons of the presented framework ?
 - What additional elements would you consider in the framework?
- Can we evaluate data on excipients and present in a format which will satisfy regulators.
 - Should a standardized template/monograph be created?
- Where to find the existing information?
 - extending the FDA inactive ingredient database to paediatrics
 - Platform to share information ? (eg, STEP database)