Stormi E. Gale, PharmD, Catherine F. Salvador, PharmD, Jeannie L. Poon, PharmD Novant Health Presbyterian Medical Center, Charlotte, NC

# Background

- Bivalirudin and unfractionated heparin (UFH) are the two most commonly used anticoagulant medications in percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)
- Trials have shown conflicting data regarding which anticoagulant is superior regarding efficacy and safety
  - ACUITY-ACS<sup>1</sup>: Bivalirudin similar in efficacy to UFH with significantly less bleeding
  - HORIZONS-AMI<sup>2</sup>: Decrease in all-cause and cardiovascular death but increased risk of stent thrombosis with bivalirudin
  - BRIGHT<sup>3</sup>: Decrease in adverse clinical events with bivalirudin over both UFH plus tirofiban and UFH alone
  - HEAT-PPCI<sup>4</sup>: Reduction in major adverse cardiac events (MACE) including acute stent thrombosis and reinfarction with UFH; no difference in bleeding
  - MATRIX<sup>5</sup>: Reduction in mortality and bleeding but increase in stent thrombosis with bivalirudin as compared to UFH
- Novant Health (NH) facilities utilized bivalirudin in approximately 90% of PCI cases prior to implementation of a new PCI protocol
- PCI protocol at NH was altered to employ heparin as the preferred anticoagulant agent in radial-access PCI in April 2015

### Purpose

To evaluate outcomes associated with implementing a new PCI protocol using heparin as the preferred anticoagulant in radial-access patients

# References

- Stone, et al. Bivalirudin for Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes (ACUITY). N Engl J Med 2006;355(21):2203-16. Stone, et al. Bivalirudin during Primary PCI in Acute Myocardial Infarction (HORIZONS-AMI). N Engl J Med 2008;358(21):2218-30.
- Han, et al. Bivalirudin vs Heparin With or Without Tirofiban During Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Acute Myocardial Infarction: The BRIGHT Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2015;313(13):1336-1346.
- Shahzad, et al. Unfractionated Heparin versus Bivalirudin in Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (HEAT-PPCI): an open-label, single centre, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet 2014;384:1849-58.
- Valgimigli M. Transradial Versus Transfemoral Access In Patients With Acute Coronary Syndromes Undergoing Invasive Management: Results From The Minimizing Adverse Haemorrhagic Events By Transradial Access Site And Systemic Implementation Of Angiox (matrix) Access Site Program. TCT@ACC-i2, March 15, 2015. Session #410-10.

# New protocol using unfractionated heparin for radial-access percutaneous coronary intervention in acute coronary syndrome



# Methods

• Retrospective, single center, IRB-approved chart review

• Secondary: (a) Incidence of major bleeding within 72 hours post-PCI; (b) Protocol compliance; (c) 30-day readmission; (d) Concurrent

# Preliminary Results

heparin utilized in 73% of cases post-implementation

Post-implementation (n=106)
MACE at 30 days 3.2% (n=3)
Major bleeding within 72 hours post-PCI 8 8% (n=8)

N	Jon-	adł	here	enc
70 <sup>0</sup>	%			
60 <sup>0</sup>	% ——			
509	% —			
utage	% —			
Percer	% —			
209	% —			
109	% —			_
09	%	2% No A0	CT	
•	Ne	ew p	oroto	CC
	Co	ontra •	ary UF Biv	to I H: alir
•	Со	ontir	nue	UF
•	Pro	oto	col a	adju
The an indirect	uthors o ct interes Stormi Catheri Jeannie	f this p st in the Gale: ine Sal <sup>i</sup> e Poon	resenta e subjec Nothing vador: : Nothir	tion ha t matt to dis Nothin ng to c

# Preliminary Results

ce to protocol identified in 36.6% of cases post-implementation of which, 29.7% (n=11) were under dosed

### ACT Range with Heparin in Radial PCI



# Discussion

ol of UFH as anticoagulant of choice appears appropriate

literature, increase in incidence seen with: Stent thrombosis and reinfarction

rudin: Major bleeding within 72 hours post-PCI

<sup>-</sup>H monotherapy for all radial cases

usted based on findings of activated clotting time

# Disclosure

ave the following to disclose concerning possible financial or personal relationships with commercial entities that may have a direct or ter of this presentation:

ng to disclose

